

November 2017



Benesch has been named Law Firm of the Year in Transportation Law in the 2017 Edition of U.S. News & World Report/Best Lawyers<sup>®</sup> "Best Law Firms" ranking.

Only one law firm per practice area in the U.S. is receiving this recognition, making this award a particularly significant achievement. This honor would not have been possible without the support of our clients, who both enable and challenge us every day, and the fine attorneys of our Transportation & Logistics Practice Group.

The U.S. News & World Report/Best Lawyers<sup>®</sup> "Best Law Firms" rankings are based on an evaluation process that includes the collection of client and lawyer evaluations, peer review from leading attorneys in their field and review of additional information provided by law firms as part of the formal submission process. For more information on Best Lawyers, please visit www.bestlawyers.com.



Practical Bursts of Information Regarding Critical Independent Contractor Relationships

# FLASH NO. 64 NLRB RULES AGAINST EMPLOYEE STATUS FOR MENARD'S DRIVERS

A National Labor Relations Judge dismissed an action brought by the National Labor Relations Board ("NLRB") regional director against Menard, Inc. ("Menards") for misclassifying its independent contractor ("ICs") drivers in violation of the National Labor Relations Act ("Act").<sup>1</sup>

The underlying action was originally submitted to the NLRB in August 2016 by Local 153, Office & Professional Employees International Union, AFL-CIO, alleging that Menards was in violation of Section 8(a)(i) of the Act. The basis of the alleged violation was that Menards' delivery drivers were misclassified as ICs rather than employees and that the hauling contracts contained mandatory arbitration clauses limiting the putative employees from filing class actions and/or claims for unfair labor practices with the NLRB.

Menards is the business of selling home improvement merchandise from its 300/ plus stores located throughout the United States. In addition, Menards offers delivery services for its customers purchasing its store merchandise by contracting with hauling contractors, ICs, to ultimately deliver the merchandise while Menards arranges the delivery and handles the payment from the customer.

The Administrative Law Judge ("ALJ") reviewed the Complaint by evaluating whether the delivery drivers were indeed ICs for purposes of applicability under the Act per Section 2(3). The "ALJ" used the ten (10) factor test established by the United States Supreme Court in determining employee versus IC classification.<sup>2</sup>

Through testimony and briefs filed by both parties, it was revealed that Menards utilized three (3) types of hauling contracts with its ICs: 1) one where the ICs provide their own truck with a forklift/crane; 2) one where ICs provide their own forklift truck and Menards provides the trailer; and 3) IC makes deliveries using a box truck/van. It was also disclosed that some ICs operate their own truck while others have multiple trucks and their own employees operating the trucks and some made deliveries for other companies besides Menards.

Ultimately, the ALJ went through the various facts relating to Menards' operation and its interaction with the ICs, and determined by an *overwhelming majority* that the drivers were independent contractors and **not** employees. In making such a determination, the ALJ reasoned that: 1) that the ICs had the balance of control over the work; 2) that the ICs were involved in a distinct business; 3) that the ICs supplied the instrumentalities of work; 4) that the ICs had the right to terminate the contracts on short notice; 5) that the ICS were paid by the 'job'; 6) the parties believed the nature of the relationship to be that

of an independent contractor; and 7) that the ICs had meaningful entrepreneurial opportunity as they could provide services for multiple companies and sell their own business.

The only factor that weighed in favor of employee status was the 'level of skill required to perform the services'. The ALJ opined that there was not enough evidence on the record, such as training or CDL requirements, to make a determination of the level of skill required to perform such services.

Since the ALJ determined the drivers to be independent contractors and **not** employees, the drivers did not qualify for protections under the Act and the complaint was dismissed. The ALJ did not even address the issue surrounding the enforcement of the arbitration clause in the hauling contracts.

The ruling in this decision is a win for the IC model which has been continuously under fire by unions, and state and federal agencies attempting to undermine the longestablished business model. The decision took into account several facts/ factors common to motor carriers operating with ICs.

The analysis by the ALJ provides a roadmap for others to compare against their IC agreements and more importantly, their operational actions.

<sup>1</sup> *Menard, Inc. & Local 153, Office & Prof'l Employees Int'l Union, Afl-Cio,* Case 18-CA-18121 (2017) (not reported in Board volumes).

<sup>2</sup> *Nationwide Mutual Insurance Co. vs. Darden*, 503 U.S. 318 (1992).

As a reminder, this Advisory is being sent to draw your attention to issues and is not to replace legal counseling.

UNITED STATES TREASURY DEPARTMENT CIRCULAR 230 DISCLOSURE: TO ENSURE COMPLIANCE WITH REQUIREMENTS IMPOSED BY THE IRS, WE INFORM YOU THAT, UNLESS EXPRESSLY STATED OTHERWISE, ANY U.S. FEDERAL TAX ADVICE CONTAINED IN THIS COMMUNICATION (INCLUDING ANY ATTACHMENTS) IS NOT INTENDED OR WRITTEN TO BE USED, AND CANNOT BE USED, FOR THE PURPOSE OF (i) AVOIDING PENALTIES UNDER THE INTERNAL REVENUE CODE, OR (ii) PROMOTING, MARKETING OR RECOMMENDING TO ANOTHER PARTY ANY TRANSACTION OR MATTER ADDRESSED HEREIN. If you need assistance or have questions about your agreements/operations, please feel free to contact Benesch's Transportation Team.

## Richard A. Plewacki at rplewacki@beneschlaw.com or (216) 363-4159

Richard is a partner with the firm's Litigation and Transportation & Logistics Practice Groups. He has been in the transportation and logistics industry, both as a businessman and an attorney, for over 40 years during which he has been heavily involved with the IC model within the trucking industry. His practice also includes advising and representing motor carriers, leasing companies, third party logistics providers, national shippers, large private fleets and water carriers in the domestic, non-contiguous trade lanes.

Matthew J. Selby at mselby@beneschlaw.com or (216) 363-4458

Matt Selby is Of Counsel in the firm's Transportation & Logistics Practice Group. He currently advises and represents a variety of transportation based organizations including motor carriers, leasing companies, third party logistics providers, regional and national shippers, large private fleets, both domestically and internationally. He has experience with independent contractor issues/owner-operator issues, shipper/ carrier matters, industry specific litigation, transportation related service agreements, freight claims, mergers and acquisitions, insurance, licensing and permitting.

#### **Additional Information**

For additional information, please contact:

#### **Transportation & Logistics Practice Group**

Michael J. Barrie at (302) 442-7068 or mbarrie@beneschlaw.com Marc S. Blubaugh at (614) 223-9382 or mblubaugh@beneschlaw.com Kevin M. Capuzzi at (302) 442-7063 or kcapuzzi@beneschlaw.com Matthew D. Gurbach at (216) 363-4413 or mgurbach@beneschlaw.com Jennifer R. Hoover at (302) 442-7006 or jhoover@beneschlaw.com Thomas B. Kern at (614) 223-9369 or tkern@beneschlaw.com Peter N. Kirsanow at (216) 363-4481 or pkirsanow@beneschlaw.com David M. Krueger at (216) 363-4683 or dkrueger@beneschlaw.com Christopher J. Lalak at (216) 363-4557 or clalak@beneschlaw.com Andi M. Metzel at (317) 685-6159 or ametzel@beneschlaw.com Michael J. Mozes at (614) 223-9376 or mmozes@beneschlaw.com Kelly E. Mulrane at (614) 223-9318 or kmulrane@beneschlaw.com Lianzhong Pan at (86 21) 3222-0388 or lpan@beneschlaw.com Martha J. Payne at (541) 764-2859 or mpayne@beneschlaw.com Stephanie S. Penninger at (317) 685-6188 or spenninger@beneschlaw.com Joel R. Pentz at (216) 363-4618 or ipentz@beneschlaw.com Richard A. Plewacki at (216) 363-4159 or rplewacki@beneschlaw.com Matthew J. Selby at (216) 363-4458 or mselby@beneschlaw.com Peter K. Shelton at (216) 363-4169 or pshelton@beneschlaw.com Verlyn Suderman at (312) 212-4962 or vsuderman@beneschlaw.com Clare R. Taft at (216) 363-4435 or ctaft@beneschlaw.com Jonathan Todd at (216) 363-4658 or jtodd@beneschlaw.com Joseph P. Yonadi, Jr. at (216) 363-4493 or jyonadi@beneschlaw.com Eric L. Zalud at (216) 363-4178 or ezalud@beneschlaw.com

### Labor & Employment Practice Group

W. Eric Baisden at (216) 363-4676 or ebaisden@beneschlaw.com Maynard Buck at (216) 363-4694 or mbuck@beneschlaw.com Joseph Gross at (216) 363-4163 or jgross@beneschlaw.com Rick Hepp at (216) 363-4657 or rhepp@beneschlaw.com Peter Kirsanow at (216) 363-4481 or pkirsanow@beneschlaw.com

www.beneschlaw.com