

# HHS OIG Sends a Strong Warning to State Medicaid Fraud Control Units: Signals Aggressive Federal Oversight of State Medicaid Fraud Enforcement

MAY 13, 2026

Authors: [Mark J. Silberman](#), [Kevin Frankel](#), [Shaneeda Jaffer](#), [Zachary Cobb](#)

Featured Practices: [White Collar, Government Investigations & Regulatory Compliance](#), [State Attorneys General Investigations & Enforcement](#), [Healthcare](#)

## Key Takeaways

- HHS OIG has issued a strong warning to state Medicaid Fraud Control Units signaling that the federal government will impose strict oversight and compliance requirements, with the threat of funding cuts or loss of certification for states that do not aggressively combat Medicaid fraud.
- This move is likely to trigger increased enforcement activity at the state level, raising the risk of investigations and prosecutions for healthcare providers and companies involved in Medicaid programs. There is also a risk that states failing to meet federal standards could lose critical Medicaid funding, impacting reimbursements.
- Healthcare organizations should review and strengthen their compliance programs immediately to minimize enforcement risk, monitor state and federal enforcement trends, and be prepared for more aggressive scrutiny from both state and federal regulators.

---

On May 13, 2026, the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services Office of Inspector General (“HHS OIG”) sent a letter to the Attorneys General of every state warning that the federal government will impose strict compliance requirements on the state’s Medicaid Fraud Control Unit (“MFCU”). The letters, signed by Inspector General T. March Bell, represent a significant escalation in federal oversight of state-level Medicaid fraud enforcement. The letter arrives in the context of the administration’s Task Force to Eliminate Fraud, led by Vice President Vance, which is coordinating a “whole-of-government strategy” to combat fraud across federal programs. As discussed below, the letter’s tone and substance suggest that the federal government is prepared to use the full range of its enforcement tools against state agencies it views as insufficiently aggressive in fighting Medicaid fraud.

## The Substance of the Letter

The HHS OIG letter opens by framing MFCUs as custodians of taxpayer dollars, noting that “[n]early half a billion dollars” flows annually to state MFCUs for the purpose of fighting Medicaid fraud. Inspector General Bell hurls a generalized accusation, without identifying any particular state

MFCUs, that “many MFCUs have been happy to rake in taxpayer dollars without fighting fraud” and that “there has been a lack of leadership at HHS that has allowed billions of our fellow Americans’ dollars to flow out to State capitals ... without any real oversight.”

The letter confirms that the HHS OIG plans to “engage in a robust review” of every state’s MFCU, citing as authority for these reviews 42 U.S.C. § 1396a(a)(61) and 42 U.S.C. § 1396b(q), two federal statutory provisions requiring states to create and maintain active MFCUs. The letter outlines a spectrum of potential regulatory consequences for units that fail to meet statutory requirements, including: “(1) implementation of a corrective action plan or other special conditions on annual recertification; (2) reduction or suspension of MFCU funding; or (3) denial of recertification, which could result in the loss of all federal grant funds provided to the state’s Medicaid program under 42 CFR part 1007, 2 CFR part 200, and 42 U.S.C. § 1396a(a)(61).”

In the letter, the Inspector General informs the state Attorneys General that he will be “reaching out to you personally to review the effectiveness of your MFCU, as well as its compliance with program requirements” in advance of the state’s annual recertification deadline. The letter also makes explicit reference connecting this to the newly created Task Force to Eliminate Fraud, headed by Vice President Vance.

## **Implications**

This announcement by the HHS OIG foretells two potential impacts on companies operating in the healthcare space. First, and primarily, is an increase in enforcement risk. If state MFCUs feel sufficiently threatened by this letter, they may increase their enforcement activity (to the extent they have the resources to do so) to preempt any argument by the federal regulators that they are asleep at the wheel. This could lead to more investigations and prosecutions at a state level, in addition to any increased federal enforcement stemming from the creation of the Fraud Enforcement Division at DOJ. Second, should the federal government follow through on the threat to deny recertification to states they deem non-compliant, this could risk reimbursements. Companies operating in the healthcare sector should be acutely aware of both risks and ensure robust compliance functions are minimizing enforcement risk.

**Benesch is well situated to help with all of these concerns. Its nationally recognized State Attorneys General and Healthcare practices, make us well positioned to navigate clients through this heightened enforcement environment.**

**Explore our previous articles on the National Fraud Enforcement Division to learn more:**

**[White House Announces Creation Of New National Fraud Enforcement Division](#)**

**[From New Division To New Leadership: White House Appoints National “Fraud Czar”](#)**

**[White House Taps Vice President To Lead New “Task Force To Eliminate Fraud”](#)**

**[The Devil Is In The Details: DOJ Provides New Insights Regarding National Fraud Enforcement Division’s Priorities](#)**